A NEW AMERICAN AMBLYOPONE, WITH NOTES ON THE GENUS (HYMENOPTERA: FORMICIDÆ)\(^2\)
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Amblyopone (Stigmatomma) trigonignatha new species

Figure 1

_Holotype worker_: Total length measured from lateral profile, mandibles included but sting excluded, 6.12 ± .10 mm.; Weber's length of alitrunk, 1.60 ± .05 mm.; maximum measurable length of the head from the center of the anterior clypeal border to a line connecting the posterior extremities of the occipital corners, 1.22 ± .005 mm.; maximum width of head, 1.05 ± .005 mm.; cephalic index, 86 ± 1; left mandible, straightline distance, when closed, from the point of contact with the anterior border of head to apex, 0.80 ± .01 mm., or, more roughly, about two thirds of the length of the head proper.

Head a little more slender than in _A. (S.) pallipes_ (Haldeman), sides gently convex, greatest width at about the anterior third, slightly convergent behind and passing into the rounded occipital corners through easy curves; posterior border of head moderately but distinctly concave in outline. "Amblyoponine teeth" at the anterolateral corners of the head reduced to small, bluntly rounded tubercles which are more or less hidden in dense pilosity; this reduction much greater than in any small specimens of the _pallipes_ complex I have seen. Clypeus dorsally weakly convex, its anterior apron rather narrow, with a very feebly convex anterior border which appears straight at some angles of view. This apron is rather abruptly terminated on each lateral extremity by an angle which marks the boundary between

\(^2\) Published with a grant from the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College.
it and the medial wall of a notch which receives the acute, triangular basal mandibular tooth. Anterior margin of clypeal apron set with twelve small, regular separated denticles which are truncate, subcylindrical and not socketed on subconical tubercles as are those of *pallipes* and its subspecies, also one of these tubercles on each short lateral margin. This makes 14 denticles in all, more than in *pallipes*, all separate, smaller and more regular than in the *pallipes* complex, and with the exception of the tooth on each lateral margin, on approximately the same level. Eyes about the same size and in the same position as in medium-sized *pallipes* workers, with only 3 or 4 of the facets actually pigmented in each. A faint median depression on the cephalic dorsum at about the midlength evidently represents a vestige of the anterior ocellus.

Mandibles distinctive; considering only the basal halves each forming an obtuse triangle, with the inner border of the basal part just before the midlength distinctly angulate at somewhat more than a right angle; this angle, which is capped by two massive, blunt double teeth partially fused at their bases, marks off fairly distinct basal and apical borders. The basal border bears two rows of teeth, a dorsal and a ventral; there are two teeth in each row, the ventral ones triangular,
acute, the dorsal low and rounded and alternating with
the ventral ones so that all may be seen from a position
directly dorsad. The more basal of the two ventral
teeth is the larger, and this fits into the notch mentioned
above just lateral to the clypeal apron. The apical bor-
der distad of the two large double teeth at the angle with
two more blunt, massive double teeth, which are well
separated; these followed by a smaller acute tooth just
before the acute, stoutly spiniform apex. Seen from the
side, the mandibles are nearly twice as thick dorsoven-
trally as in pallipes, and not quite so strongly projecting
anteriorly; the apices somewhat recurved.

The alitrunk is very similar to that of small pallipes
workers, but perhaps very slightly more slender; the
rounded lamellæ at the base of the propodeal declivity
smaller. The petiolar node is very slightly longer than
broad seen from above, much as in smaller workers of
pallipes.

Sculpture throughout less pronounced than in pallipes,
the head and thorax shining to the naked eye. Seen at
very high magnifications, the dorsum of the head is sown
with very small, regular punctures which are separated
from each other by plane, shining surfaces which do not
form the fine longitudinal costulation or rugulation seen
in the forms of pallipes. Clypeus very indistinctly and
irregularly longitudinally striate; mandibles striate
longitudinally as in pallipes, but less regularly and dis-
tinctly. Sculpture of dorsum of alitrunk much like that
of the head, but the sides posteriorly are longitudinally
striate as in pallipes; propodeal declivity with a large
central area devoid of transverse striae and smooth and
shining.

Color rather uniform medium ferrugineous. Other
characters of structure and pilosity within the range of
variability shown by small to medium-sized pallipes
workers from the eastern United States. Male and fe-
male unknown.

Holotype worker, Museum of Comparative Zoology,
Harvard University, Catalog No. 28231. Collected at
Concord, North Carolina, by Dr. D. L. Wray, who sifted
it from leaf mold by means of the Berlese funnel. One *Stigmatomma pallipes* worker was also taken by the same funnel, a fact which has caused me to examine a large quantity of *pallipes* specimens in making sure that the new species cannot be an extreme example of the very variable common species. I have found it very generally true that closely related ant species often occur in the same Berlese batch, especially with forms living in or beneath the soil cover or in rotten logs, so the proximity of the two forms in collecting need not trouble us too much. The differences are so striking that I cannot consider *trigonignatha* as merely an abnormal specimen of *pallipes*, and the sting rules out the possibility of it being an ergatoid male like those found in *Ponera*.

*Amblyopone (Stigmatomma) pallipes* (Haldeman)


The remainder of the synonymy is given in Creighton's paper cited above on page 3. The correct spelling of Provancher's name is *Arotropus binodosus*, not "*Atropus binodus*," as Creighton has it.

Since Dr. Creighton's paper describing the form *montigena* was published, I have been accumulating *Stigmatomma* specimens year by year from various states, principally Pennsylvania, North Carolina and Tennessee. I have looked over specimens from the Pennsylvania Alleghenies (where *Stigmatomma* is often the most abundant or only ant occurring in very wet mountain valleys in which rhododendron and hemlock form the main cover) with the hope of finding *montigena* specimens. I succeeded in finding some specimens with a rather convex anterior clypeal border, but these were often mixed in the same colony with specimens having the border nearly straight. Two specimens from rich, low beech woods in a city park in Philadelphia, however, showed very marked convexity of the clypeal border to a degree comparable with the *montigena* types; since these latter
specimens were taken in very close association with several other workers having much less convex borders, I believe that the geographical basis of this subspecies becomes very weak. The length of the funicular joints, the sculpture, and the presence or absence of a tubercle distad of the basal tooth are also variable characters in both Pennsylvania and North Carolina specimens, and the first of these auxiliary characters is subject to differences brought about by the contraction of funicular joints into one another to different degrees at death in alcohol. In conclusion, Dr. Creighton's material, while reasonably abundant, just happened to show a distributional pattern which led naturally to the erection of a subspecies. The additional material now available shows so many contradictory features that *montigena* cannot be retained as a separate form any longer.

*Amblyopone (Stigmatomma) subterranea* Creighton

I regard this form for the present as a good species, though it was described as a subspecies of *pallipes* in Creighton's 1940 paper (p. 8, fig. 4). Though the difference from *pallipes* is very slight, it seems constant in the specimens from Kansas, and the specimen from Austin, Texas, may also be considered as of this form instead of as a *pallipes-subterranea* intergrade. Buren has reported this form from Iowa, so the range appears wide in the plains states. Specimens of *pallipes* from Illinois and western Tennessee do not seem to intergrade with *subterranea*, and no true intergrades seem yet to have been reported from anywhere, with the exception of the single doubtful specimen from Texas. Though it is true, as Creighton states in his description, that most of the sculpture of *subterranea* is rather light, the Kansan and Texan specimens show rather characteristically strong longitudinal rugulae in the area just behind the frontal region of the dorsum of the head which are not quite like those of *pallipes*. The structure of the anterior clypeal border seems rather distinct and diagnostic also.

Though Creighton regarded the single Arizona record of *Stigmatomma* as doubtful in 1940, there have been
several collections made in that state by Mr. R. G. Wesson since Creighton’s writing. Dr. Creighton has suggested to me in a letter that these specimens may provide a basis for reviving Wheeler’s Arizona race, but since these collections are not presently available to me, I shall provisionally accept the synonymy of this form under *S. pallipes pallipes*.

Subgenera of the genus *Amblyopone* Erichson

In 1934, Mr. John Clark of Melbourne¹ adopted Wheeler’s earlier suggestion² that *Stigmatomma* Roger, *Fulakora* Mann and *Xymmer* Santschi were only subgenera of *Amblyopone* Erichson. On the basis of workers alone, it is hard to see why any of these names should be maintained if the known world fauna of the complex is considered as a whole. An examination of the venation of the winged males and females of several species referred at present to *Amblyopone* (aberrans Wheeler, several forms of the *australis-cephalotes* complex) and to *Stigmatomma* (rothneyi Forel, *pallipes* Haldeman), *pallipes oregonensis* Wheeler) reveals a difference which, if consistent in the two groups, will serve to separate them satisfactorily as subgenera; I should not be surprised to find this character intergradient and thus not any longer separatory when more of the males and females are known. In *Stigmatomma*, the second free abscissa of Rs (Rs FA 2), the vein which splits the cubital cell longitudinally, is present in its entirety (somewhat weak in *rothneyi*), while in all the *Amblyopone sensu stricto*, this vein is entirely gone and the cubital cell resulting is very large and undivided. Furthermore, the venation of the *Stigmatommas* from the United States (not *rothneyi*) is more primitive in that the first free abscissa of M (M FA 1), the posterior part of the vein persistently called the “basal vein,” is lined up or nearly lined up with crossvein cu-a, a condition characteristic of the Myrmeciini and also of at least some *Mystrium* Roger and *Myopopone* Roger.

¹ Clark, 1934, Mem. Nat. Mus. Victoria, No. 8, p. 27.
I have not seen any winged forms of *Fulakora* Mann; this genus or subgenus cannot at present be separated from *Stigmatomma*, since the prime character, approximation of the frontal carinae, is intermediate through to *S. williamsi* Wheeler (Philippines) in at least two Australian forms of *Fulakora* described by Clark (*punctulata, gracilis*). *Williamsi* and *silvestrii* Wheeler (Japan) form a very close series connecting forms like *punctulata* with those like *denticulata* Mayr and other “‘typical’ *Stigmatomma*. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I propose that the name *Fulakora* Mann be considered a synonym of *Amblyopone* (*Stigmatomma*).

Two synonyms were unwittingly created by Wheeler (see synonymy below) when he stated that he thought the use of Clark’s names, proposed *in lit.* at an earlier date, to be “‘inadvisable.’” Both names were put in print, with genotypes stated. According to present practice as employed by many systematists, these names are available and in force, a fact pointed out to me by my friend, Mr. Floyd G. Werner. It appears best to list them formally as synonyms. The arrangement below shows my conclusions as to the relationships of the names discussed above. For fuller synonymy, references can be found in Emery’s section on the Amblyoponini in the Genera Insectorum.

**Genus Amblyopone** Erichson


As far as I can see, there is only one valid subgenus in addition to *Amblyopone* (s. str.):

**Subgenus Stigmatomma** Roger


Amblyopone (Xymmer) Clark, 1934, Mem. Nat. Mus., Melbourne, No. 8, p. 27.
A. (Stigmatomma) Clark, 1934, Idem., p. 27.
A. (Fulakora) Clark, 1934, Idem., p. 27 et suiv.

Since both Santschi and Emery have shown (works cited in synonymy) that Santschi’s Xymmer muticum is connected to Stigmatomma through A. (S.) belli Forel, I can see no reason for retaining Xymmer as a separate subgenus any longer.